

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

April 1, 1997 LE 14, 30, 51, 54, 114, 114A, 165, 166, 246
 264, 284, 287, 422, 434, 510, 531, 554, 589
 734, 753, 862, 887
 LR 40, 56

CLERK: Government Committee reports LB 14 to General File with amendments, (LB) 287, (LB) 510, LR 40, LR 56, as indefinitely postponed. Those reports signed by Senator Robinson. That's all that I have, Madam President.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Thank you, Mr. Clerk. While the Legislature is in session and capable of transacting business, I propose to sign and do hereby sign LB 264, (LB) 54, (LB) 165, (LB) 166E, (LB) 246, (LB) 284E, (LB) 434, (LB) 531E, (LB) 554E, (LB) 589E, (LB) 734, (LB) 753E, (LB) 887E, (LB) 114, (LB) 114A, (LB) 862E, (LB) 30E, and (LB) 51. We return to Select File, LB 422.

CLERK: (LB) 422 on Select File, Madam President, discussed I believe last...last week, when the Legislature was last on Select File. Senator Chambers had offered Floor Amendment 79. There were two amendments, by Senator Chambers, to that that were adopted. I have pending FA79, Senator. It is on page 1067 of the Journal.

PRESIDENT ROBAK: Senator Chambers, to speak to the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Madam President. Members of the Legislature, this is one of those bills that has been before us for a good period of time on General File, and it is with us for awhile on Select. I have several amendments that I intend to offer. I hope that Senator Matzke may be able to see his way clear to agree to them without too much problem, but I do want it clear in the record what it is that I'm trying to do. What we had done with this amendment that I have before us is to strike certain portions of it, and I believe the only thing left is the language that the victim was a law enforcement officer performing his or her official duties as a law enforcement officer. I had offered more language than that. The amendment, in its original form, had stated that the person who does not know that the victim is a law enforcement officer should have known that the person was a law enforcement officer, and I wanted to give a standard by which to judge what that person should have known, and the language that I had included in my entire amendment was the following; from the objective circumstances existing at the time and place that the murder was committed, that the victim was a law enforcement officer